TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

May 8, 2001 LB 536, 620

subsidy they get over all these other companies right now. Wouldn't you have to agree with that?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I would.

Thank you, Senator Kremer. Members of the SENATOR CHAMBERS: Legislature, rural forces are greedy. They are downright And for some of them to stand on this floor and say they want to get benefits under this bill and LB 536 is nothing other than unmitigated gall mixed with greed. That's why I say they always come with their hand out-gimme, gimme, gimme. They're saying gimme more than Union Pacific and these other companies are going to get. Had it not been for the federal money given to these farmers, they would not have had the level of income they had this past year. And they're counting on federal handouts now, over \$20 billion, might be up to 50 for all I know, that they're insisting they be given, not that they earned, that they be given. That's welfare of a kind that the poorest welfare people don't get. And then they come in here and want to double-dip in this way. It is unconscionable. If these reporters and editors were doing their job, this is a story for them. But they're not doing their job. They're on vacation. If Senator Dierks' amendment goes down then I think there ought to be a more jaundiced look at LB 536 because you all now will know what you're dealing with. When I tried to tell you, you wouldn't believe it or accept it from me. You knew I was telling the truth, but you could hide from it. pulls all the cover off all them, and those who have these ethanol plants in their district or think they're going to get them lose all semblance of rationality and integrity insofar as talking about what good public policy is. I'm going to support Senator Dierks' amendment, and if you don't agree to his amendment I hope the Governor is watching what you're doing or somebody who might can bring some sense to this chaos that is developing. I support Senator Dierks' amendment and I'm going to call it the "polygraph amendment" because it has stripped the cloak off some of my colleagues and shown the world what they really are when it comes to these kind of bills.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Stuhr, on the Dierks amendment.